

Sample Preparation and Matrix Effects in the Detection of Chemical Residues in Foods

Steven J. Lehotay, HyeYoung Kwon, and Lucía Geis-Asteggiante







Hyeyoung Kwon

Lucía Geis-Asteggiante

Rural Development Administration

National Academy of Agricultural Science Suwon, South Korea Cátedra de Farmacognosia y Productos Naturales, DQO; UdelaR Montevideo, Uruguay



Presentation Outline

- I. QuEChERS & Update
- II. Matrix Effects (GC and LC-API-MS)
 III. Pesticide Experiments & Results
 IV. Veterinary Drug Residue Results
 V. Conclusions



Slide Devised by Katerina Mastovska

QuEChERS Approach



1) Shake sample with solvent and salts





2) Centrifuge for 1 min



4) Centrifuge for 1 min

5) Analyze Pesticides



Grape

Spinach Strawberry



3) Mix a portion with a sorbent

Different QuEChERS Methods

2003 Anastassiades et al	l.	2005 Lehotay <i>et al.</i>		2007 Anastassiades <i>et al.</i>
Original		AOAC 2007.01		CEN 15662
10-15 g sub sample		10-15 g sub sample		10-15 g sub sample
\downarrow		\downarrow		\checkmark
10-15 mL MeCN		10-15 mL		10-15 mL MeCN
↓ shake		1% HOAc in MeCN		↓ shake
0.4 g/mL anh.MgSO₄		\downarrow shake		0.4 g/mL anh.MgSO₄
0.1 g/mL NaCl		0.4 g/mL anh.MgSO ₄		0.1 g/mL NaCl
↓ shake		0.1 g/mL NaOAc		0.1g/mL Na ₃ Cit•2H ₂ O
↓ centrifuge		↓ shake		0.05 g/mL Na₂Cit •1.5H ₂ O
Ű	Option:	\downarrow centrifuge	Option:	↓ shake
150 mg/mL anh.MgSO ₄ 25 mg/mL PSA	+ 50 mg	150 mg/mL anh.MgSO₄	+ 50 mg	\downarrow centrifuge
J	C ₁₈ &	50 mg/mL PSA	C ₁₈ &	150 mg/mL anh.MgSO ₄
	7.5 mg GCB	\downarrow	2.5-7.5 mg GCB	25 mg/mL PSA
shake & centrifuge	000	shake & centrifuge		\downarrow
				shake & centrifuge
Option: Scale-Up & Conc. in Toluene				

Slide Devised by Urairat Koesukwiwat

QuEChERS Update

Steve and Angelo "Interviewed" by Ron Majors

QuECHERS a Sample Preparation Technique that is "Catching On": An Up-to-Date Interview with the Inventors, LC GC North America, **July, 2010** The QuEChERS Revolution, LC GC Europe, Sept., 2010



Available from ChromatographyOnline.com

QuEChERS in the Literature



*search conducted on May 3, 2011



What's New with QuEChERS?

- More vendors and formats (e.g. DPX)
- GCB or ChloroFiltr for chlorophyll reduction
- Type of MgSO₄: <u>can use 97% purity</u>
- Shakers e.g. Spex and Glas-Col
- Automation with robotic autosampler
- "Unified" method to undergo AOAC update
- d-SPE has a life of its own
- More applications (*e.g.* PAHs)
- Veterinary drug residue methods

Vendors of QuEChERS Products



Disposable Pipette Extraction (DPX)

Patented in 2003 by William Brewer, University of South Carolina









Comparison of ChloroFiltr (16B) and GCB



Unified QuEChERS Method

1 g sample per 1 mL of MeCN w/ 1% HOAc for fruits and vegetables

add internal standard

per g sample, add 0.4 g anh. MgSO₄ + 0.1 g anh. NaOAc shake or blend

centrifuge

ldispersive spe ldispersive up

extraction

per mL of the upper layer: 150 mg MgSO₄ + 50 mg PSA + 50 mg C18 + 7.5 mg GCB mix and centrifuge

QuEChERS for Grains, Nuts, Doughs

2.5 - 5 g sample + 10 mL water*

+ 10 mL MeCN + internal standards

shake for 1 h

add 4 g MgSO₄ + 1 g NaCl shake vigorously for 1 min

centrifuge for 1 min

*15 mL water for 5 g of rice

1 mL of the upper layer + 150 mg PSA + 50 mg C18 + 150 mg MgSO₄ mix for 30 s centrifuge for 1 min



extraction



6 Data Sets from 3 Types of Flaxseeds

QuEChERS of Milled Flaxseeds



Biggest Problem with LC- and GC- MS(/MS)



plus costs to purchase and maintain, and facility requirements, and downtime, and need for more expertise due to greater complexity

It is still a pain!

Experiment to Assess Matrix Effects

- 33 LC- and/or GC- amenable pesticides
- 4 matrices (apple, orange, spinach, and rices)
- 20 different sources of each commodity
- Calibration standards from 10-350 ng/g in each commodity/source and reagent-only
- Analyte protectants added to GC standards
- Analytical sequences conducted on API-3000 LC-(ESI⁺)-MS/MS and LP-GC/ToF-MS (10 μL PTV)
- Matrix effects calculated (vs. I.S. and not)

How to Calculate (Estimate) Matrix Effects



Isotopically-Labeled Internal Standard is Ideal



Alternative Calculation Method



Effect of Isotopically-Labeled IS



Results for LP-GC/ToF (w/o I.S.)



Results for LC-MS/MS (w/o I.S.)



Results for LC-MS/MS (w/ I.S.)



Results for LC-MS/MS (w/ I.S.)



Results for LP-GC/ToF (w/ I.S.)



%ME: orange >> rice > spinach = apple

Analyte Protectants

Strongly interact with active sites in GC system (inlet, column and ion source) to decrease degradation and adsorption of co-injected analytes.

Sharper peaks, less tailing, more ruggedness, lower LOD



Effect of Analyte Protectants



Anastassiades, Maštovská, Lehotay, J. Chromatogr. A, 1015, 163-184 (2003)

Combination of Analyte Protectants for GC Pesticide Residue Analysis



K. Mastovska, S.J. Lehotay, M. Anastassiades, Anal. Chem., 77, 8129-8137 (2005)



Conclusions of Pesticides Study

- Matrix effects aren't so bad in QuEChERS with LCand GC- MS(/MS) analyses, but worse in citrus
- In terms of matrix effects, one apple is much like another, and oranges are alike, too, but apples aren't like oranges, they're like plums, *etc.*
- Analyte protectants in GC improve results, but matrix-matching still needed for late-eluters, especially in citrus.
- Isotopically-labeled internal standards work best to overcome matrix effects, but not perfectly, and they even help reduce effects for other analytes.



Comparison of 6 Vet. Drug Methods

Mol *et al.* (Rikilt – The Netherlands)
 Martos *et al.* (U. Guelph – ON, Canada)
 Lehotay *et al.* (USDA-ARS – Wyndmoor, PA)
 Leepipatpiboon *et al.* (Chulalongkorn U., Thailand)
 Stubbings *et al.* (FERA – York, UK)
 Kaufmann *et al.* (Switzerland)

All methods gave similar qualitative MS/MS screening capabilities with nearly all 60 of the analytes meeting identification criteria at ½ "tolerance" level in kidney.

Speed, cost, ease of use and ruggedness become the differentiating aspects.

60 Vet. Drugs in Beef Kidney

vs. SMZ-d6



Analysis of Incurred Kidney (2 g)



Analysis of Incurred Kidney (2 g)



Analysis of Incurred Kidney (2 g)



Fast Method for Vet. Drug Residues

lean-up

2 g tissue in a 50 mL tube

add IS mix (SMZ-d6; flunixin-d3; PenG-d7)

add 10 mL of 4/1 (v/v) MeCN/water vortex briefly, shake for 5 min centrifuge for 5 min >3500 rcf

supernatant + 500 mg C18 + 10 mL hexane sat'd
w/MeCN; mix for 30 s, centrifuge for
5 min > 3500 rcf; aspirate hexane to waste

evaporate 5 mL extract to 1 mL final vol.

filter extract with the Mini-UniPrep[™]

UHPLC-MS/MS analysis
Streamlined Method Validation

<u>Needs</u>:

- Trueness (Recoveries at ≥3 Levels, n > 5)
- Precision (Repeatability & Reproducibility)
- Ruggedness (Multi-day, Multi-Analyst, etc.)
- Selectivity (Interferences in Blanks?)
- Range (calibration and matrix effects)
- Detection limits (MDL, LOD, LOQ, LOI)
- Qualitative (False Negatives/Positives)

Can We Meet All Needs in 3 Days?

<u>3-Day Validation Experiment</u>

<u>Day 1</u>:

 Analyst 1 in hot Lab, Reagents A, 10 matrix blanks from different sources, 6 spikes at 3 levels each in 6 matrices + 4 spikes each at same levels in mixed matrices (1 in glass tubes); 5-point calibration each in mixed matrix and reagent-only stds; reagent blk = 0-Std inj'd after high std to check for carry-over

Days 2 and 3:

 Analysts 2 & 3 in cooler labs repeat using Reagents B & C with different sources of matrices

Veterinary Drug Residues Conclusions

- The streamlined method has met validation criteria for most drugs in a 3-day validation for qualitative identification screening purposes.
- Sample throughput is 60 samples/day by 1 chemist for UHPLC-MS/MS analysis.
- The method is being implemented for routine monitoring of cattle (so far) by the USDA labs.
- Quantitation is acceptable for ≈75% of the drugs, but enforcement requires 2nd analysis anyway.
- The new streamlined method still needs a cool name.



Acknowledgments







Michelangelo Anastassiades

Katerina Mastovska Alan Lightfield

Urairat (Oil) Koesukwiwat

Terry Dutko and others at USDA FSIS

US-Israel Binational Agricultural Research and Development Grant US-4273-09



QuEChERS Baby Picture

This is what happens when two fathers who hate to do dishes have a baby together





Efficient Pesticide Residue Analysis





 QuEChERS is a well-proven, fast sample preparation method for hundreds of pesticide residues in different types of food matrices.

- UPLC-MS/MS can provide 10 min analysis of hundreds of LC-amenable pesticides.
- LP-GC/MS can also provide 10 min analysis of hundreds of GC-amenable pesticides.
- Currently, the HUGE sample throughput limitation is data processing and review!

<u>QuEChERS as a Teenager</u>

- QuEChERS is no longer a baby, born of two fathers, it is a teenager influenced by friends, some you can trust and others you can't.
- The QuEChERS approach is still learning its potential and limitations in the big world.
- QuEChERS concepts are easy and fast to try in your application(s) – no big loss if it fails.
- Recovery experiments alone are not enough to validate methods – use incurred samples, proficiency testing, and/or interlab trials.

Dispersive-SPE

Why use an SPE apparatus for "chemical filtration?"

 Dispersive-SPE involves the mixing of the sorbent with the extract in a mini-centrifuge tube to retain matrix interferants, but not analytes.





QuEChERS Features and Impact

 <u>A single extract can be prepared in 10 min</u> or a batch of 20 in an hr by a <u>single analyst</u> with ≈\$1-3 of disposable materials per sample and generate <12 mL nonchlorinated solvent waste.

 Consistently high recoveries (mostly 90-110% with RSDs < 10%) of a wide range of GC- and LC-amenable pesticides are achieved from many food matrices.

 Countless labs have implemented QuEChERS successfully for up to 500 pesticides in food and increased efficiency (faster, less labor, lower cost, less waste, saves space, less labware, higher throughput).

QuEChERS concepts have spread to other applications.



What is QuEChERS?

www.quechers.com

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Quechers The Quechers method is a streamlined approach that makes it easier and less expensive for analytical chemists to examine pesticide residues in food. The name is a **portmanteau word** formed from "Quick, Easy, Cheap, Effective, Rugged, and Safe."



2000-2002 2002 EPRW-Rome 2003 Publication MgSO4 Dispersive-SPE Analyte Protectants



Limitations of QuEChERS?

- Too Many Modified Versions
- Cereals require a separate protocol
- Still Problems with captan, folpet, captafol
- Spices and oils give problems
- Works best with modern MS systems
- Need PTV or solvent exchange for low LOD
- Matrix effects in complicated matrices
- Even simpler sample prep possible





Syringeless Filters

Mini-UniPrep[™] (Whatman)



1) Place unfiltered sample (max. 0.5 mL) in chamber.





2) Compress filter plunger into sample chamber. Clean filtrate fills reservoir bottom up.

3) Place the Mini-UniPrep[™] vial in an autosampler.

aqueous samples: PVDF (polyvinylidenefluoride) filter

QuEChERS Sample Prep

(1) weigh 15 g homogenized sample into a 50 mL tube (2) add spiking and I.S. solutions, and vortex for 1 min; (3) add 15 mL of MeCN with 1% HOAc; shake for 30 s; (4) add 6 g of anh. MgSO₄ and 1.5 g of anh. NaOAc; (5) shake the tube immediately for 1 min; (6) centrifuge the tube at 3,250 rcf for 2 min; (7) transfer 1 mL extract to d-SPE tube containing 150 mg anh. MgSO₄ + 50 mg PSA + 50 mg C-18 + 7.5 mg GCB; (8) mix for 30 s and centrifuge at 3,250 rcf for 2 min; (9) transfer 0.5 mL into an autosampler vial; (10) add 50 μ L of the QC and analyte protectants mixture and 50 µL MeCN (to make sample volumes equal those of the calibration standards), and (11) conduct LP-GC/MS-MS analysis.